

In a number of countries, some people think it is necessary to spend large sums of money on constructing new railway lines for very fast trains between cities. Others believe the money should be spent on improving existing public transport.

Discuss both these views and give your own opinion.

In ~~today's~~ today's technologically advanced era, ways of commuting ~~has~~ ~~been~~ ~~have~~ ~~experiencing~~ ~~experienced~~ a significant evolution, and the industry of trains' production is not an exemption. Establishing a path for this development has ~~arose~~ ~~raised~~ controversial disputes, ~~both~~ ~~advocates~~, and ~~opponents~~, ~~who~~ claim that improving the present public transportation is a more essential practice.

Laying the foundation for newly developed trains, governments could substantially guarantee citizens' convenience as well as punctuality ~~about~~ ~~for~~ their occupations. That is to say, on account of nowadays' widespread traffic congestions, particularly in megacities, the masses ~~has~~ ~~have~~ been faced with an array of grave ills, one of which is late arrival ~~to~~ ~~at~~ their job prejudice their job security. Besides, the cutting edge of the train industry has brought about environment-friendly motorized vehicles, which hardly ~~do~~ cause pollution (both sound and air kinds), thus a more decent condition would be offered.

On the opposite side of the coin, dozens of experts allege that there must be more efficient implementations to be enacted in this area, which are also economically viable. They have cited that, for instance, should authorities budget for improvement in current motorways, more lucrative consequences will be attained. ~~Taking~~ ~~applying~~ these ~~legislations~~ ~~laws~~ ~~up~~ will at least alleviate slow-moving ~~traffic~~ traffic jams. Additionally, other ways of transport, for example fleets of public busses, ought to be replaced by their latest models, and this practice is far cheaper.

Incidentally, from my point of view, this progress should be taken into consideration, albeit costly. This approach will presumably mitigate cities problems, and ~~serve~~ leads to so appropriate a circumstances.

The question “whether countries be facilitated